## Morrison Cohenum

Howard Wolfson Partner (212) 735-8872 hwolfson@morrisoncohen.com

July 9, 2007

#### VIA E-MAIL AND MAIL

Eugene S. Ginsberg, Esq. Law Offices of Eugene S. Ginsberg 300 Garden City Plaza Garden City, New York 11530 Hon. Walter M. Schackman Davis & Gilbert LLP 1740 Broadway New York, New York 10019

Hon. E. Leo Milonas Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman, LLP 1540 Broadway New York, New York 10036-4039

Re: CDDV and CDP and Hornell Brewing Co., Inc., 13 181 01425 05

Dear Panel Members:

This responds to Claimants' counsel's July 3, 2007 letter, once again requesting that the Panel amend its February 8, 2007 Order (the "Order").

As previously stated in my June 18, 2007 letter, we only agree with Claimants' counsel insofar as she notes that the Panel obviously intended to strike all references to the "Amendments" in the Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release ("Settlement Agreement") but inadvertently overlooked a reference to the Amendments in Paragraph 8. This can be corrected, if the Panel deems it necessary, by amending Paragraph 3 of the Order to read as follows:

3. Except for (a) Paragraph 1 (Amendments to the Distribution Agreement) and (b) "by this Agreement and the Amendments" in the first line of Paragraph 8 (Final Understanding) "and the Amendments" in the sixth line of Paragraph 8, all of the remaining provisions of the unsigned "Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release," dated November \_\_\_\_\_, 2006.

However, we oppose Claimants' request to amend the Order to add terms to the settlement that the parties never agreed to: to wit, a provision incorporating the entire CDP and CDDV Distributor Agreements into the Settlement Agreement. The sole argument that was made in support of this amendment is that "[i]t would be strange indeed to have a settlement agreement that did not include the business terms of the settlement." (Claimants' June 18 Letter at p. 2). This is the identical argument that was made months ago and rejected by this Panel when Claimants unsuccessfully argued against enforcement of the settlement. The simple answer then, just as it is now, is that the business terms of the settlement are fully set forth in the November 14, 2006 Letter Agreement and handwritten Rider -- and not in the Settlement Agreement, which as the Panel is aware only contains the legal bells and whistles. Most importantly, the November 14 Letter Agreement and handwritten Rider can be searched in vain for any provision in which the parties agreed that the CDP and CDDV Distributor Agreements would be incorporated into the Settlement

Morrison Cohen LLP Eugene S. Ginsberg, Esq. Hon. E. Leo Milonas Hon. Walter M. Schackman July 9, 2007 Page 2

Agreement. Incorporating the entire CDP and CDDV Distributor Agreements into the Settlement Agreement has nothing whatsoever to do with enforcing the settlement. Moreover, rather than correcting the Panel's Order, it instead attempts to add a settlement term that the parties did <u>not</u> agree to

Accordingly, we respectfully disagree with Claimants' counsel's contention that the consent of all parties is not required for the Panel to grant the relief requested by Claimants.

Respectfully submitted,

Howard S. Wolfson

cc: Dana Klinges, Esq.

HSW/rr

Filed 07/24/2008

Having considered the submissions and arguments of counsel, the Arbitrators revise their February 8, 2007 findings and hereby Order that the parties are bound by:

- The signed Letter Agreement, dated November 14, 2006; 1.
- 2. The signed three paragraph handwritten document, dated November 14, 2006; and
- Except for (a) Paragraph 1 (Amendments to the Distribution Agreement) 3. and (b) "and the Amendments" in the first line and in the sixth line of paragraph 8 (Final Understanding), all of the remaining provisions of the unsigned "Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release", dated November \_\_\_, 2006.

For the Panel		Dated: July 12, 2007	

Eugene S. Ginsberg, Chair

# AMERICAN ARBITRATION ASSOCIATION NEW YORK, NEW YORK

CANADA DRY DELAWARE VALLEY BOTTLING COMPANY, AND CANADA DRY POTOMAC CORPORATION,	)	
Claimants,	)	
-against-	)	AAA Case No. 13 181 01425 05
HORNELL BREWING CO., INC. D/B/A FEROLITO, VULTAGGIO & SONS,	)	
Respondent.	)	

#### **CONSENT AWARD OF ARBITRATORS**

We, the undersigned Arbitrators, having been duly designated in accordance with the arbitration agreements entered into between the above-named parties dated March 17, 1997 and December 23, 1998, and having been duly sworn, and the parties having reached a settlement of this arbitration in accordance with our July 12, 2007 Order (a copy of which is also attached hereto) finding that they are bound by the attached agreements.

Now, therefore, we hereby make the terms set forth in the attached agreements, which comprise the settlement, our Consent Award as follows:

Attachment A - the signed Letter Agreement dated November 14, 2006:

Attachment B - the signed three paragraph handwritten document dated November 14, 2006; and

Attachment C - the unsigned "Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release" dated November \_\_\_\_\_, 2006, except for (a) Paragraph 1 (Amendments to the Distribution Agreement) and (b) "and the Amendments" in the first line and in the sixth line of Paragraph 8 (Final Understanding), which are stricken.

The administrative fees of the American Arbitration Association totaling \$13,500.00, and the compensation and expenses of the arbitrators totaling \$131,978.44 shall be borne as incurred. Therefore, no party shall reimburse any other party for any fees and expenses.

This Consent Award is in full settlement of all claims and counterclaims submitted in this Arbitration. All claims not expressly granted herein are hereby denied.

CONSEN	Ί	ED	T	O	:

Volf, Block Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP

Dana Klinges, Esq. Zachary Glaser, Esq. Counsel for Claimants Dated: 8 - 20-0 Morrison Cohen LLP Howard S. Wolfson, Esq. Counsel For Respondent Dated:

Date Eugene Ginsberg, Esquire

Date Hon. E. Leo Milonas

Date Hon. Walter Schackman

I, Eugene Ginsberg, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is the award of this Arbitration Panel.

Date

I, E. Leo Milonas, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is the consent award of this Arbitration Panel.

Date

I, Walter Schackman, do hereby affirm upon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described in and who executed this instrument which is the consent award of this Arbitration Panel.

Date

AUG. 21. 2007 11:28AM

NO. 693 P. 4

CONSENTED TO:	Howard & WOR
Wolf, Block Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP Dana Klinges, Esq. Zachary Glaser, Esq. Counsel for Claimants Dated:	Morrison Cohen LLP Howard S. Wolfson, Esq. Counsel For Respondent Dated:
Date	Eugene Ginsberg, Esquire
Date	Hon. E. Leo Milonas
Date	Hon. Walter Schackman
I, Eugene Ginsberg, do hereby affirm upo described in and who executed this instrumer	n my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual at which is the award of this Arbitration Panel.
Date	
I, E. Leo Milonas, do hereby affirm upon my in and who executed this instrument which is  Date	oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described the consent award of this Arbitration Panel.
I Walter Schackman, do hereby affirm up:	on my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual
described in and who executed this instrum Panel.	ent which is the consent award of this Arbitration

RECEIVED TIME AUG. 22. 11:51AM

08/20/2007 02:51 FAX

.**⊠** 003/003

CONSENTED TO:	
Welf, Block Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP Dana Klinges, Esq. Zachary Glaser, Esq. Counsel for Claimants Dated: 8-20-07	Morrison Cohen LLP Howard S. Wolfson, Esq. Counsel For Respondent Dated:
8/W/07 Date	Eugene Ginsberg, Esquire
Date	Hon. E. Leo Milonas
Date	Hon, Walter Schackman
described in and who executed this instrumed bate  I, E. Leo Milonas, do hereby affirm upon my	on my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual ent which is the award of this Arbitration Panel.  y oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described is the consent award of this Arbitration Panel.
Date	
I, Walter Schackman, do hereby affirm up described in and who executed this instrum Panel.	oon my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual nent which is the consent award of this Arbitration
Date	
MC53828 v3 /16933 /003	2

CONSENTED TO:	Howard & WOR
Wolf, Block Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP Dana Klinges, Esq. Zachary Glaser, Esq. Counsel for Claimants Dated:	Morrison Cohen LLP Howard S. Wolfson, Esq. Counsel For Respondent Dated:
Dated.	
Date 8/23/07	Eugene Ginsberg, Esquire
Date	Hon. E. Leo Milonas
Date	Hon. Walter Schackman
described in and who executed this instrumer  Date	n my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual at which is the award of this Arbitration Panel.
I, E. Leo Milonas, do hereby affirm upon my in and who executed this instrument which is Date	oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual described the consent award of this Arbitration Panel.
I, Walter Schackman, do hereby affirm up described in and who executed this instrum Panel.	on my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual and the individual and the consent award of this Arbitration
Date	
`	

08/20/2007 02:51 FAX

**2**003/003

CONSENTED TO:	
Sach C. Glaw Walf, Block Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP	
Wolf, Block Schorr & Solis-Cohen, LLP	Morrison Cohen LLP
Dana Klinges, Esq. Zachary Glaser, Esq.	Howard S. Wolfson, Esq. Counsel For Respondent
Counsel for Claimants	Dated:
Dated: 8 - 20-07	
Date	Eugene Ginsberg, Esquire
Date	Hop. E. Leo Milonas
8/22/07	Watte Schalmon
Date	Hon, Walter Schackman
described in and who executed this instrument w	my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual which is the award of this Arbitration Panel.
I, E. Leo Milonas, do hereby affirm upon my oal in and who executed this instrument which is the	th as Arbitrator that I am the individual described consent award of this Arbitration Panel.
Date	
	<b>,</b>
I, Walter Schackman, do hereby affirm upon a described in and who executed this instrument Panel.	my oath as Arbitrator that I am the individual which is the consent award of this Arbitration
8/22/07 /Date	Water Achachman
·· •	

Having considered the submissions and arguments of counsel, the Arbitrators revise their February 8, 2007 findings and hereby Order that the parties are bound by:

- 1. The signed Letter Agreement, dated November 14, 2006;
- 2. The signed three paragraph handwritten document, dated November 14, 2006; and
- 3. Except for (a) Paragraph 1 (Amendments to the Distribution Agreement) and (b) "and the Amendments" in the first line and in the sixth line of paragraph 8 (Final Understanding), all of the remaining provisions of the unsigned "Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release", dated November \_\_\_\_, 2006.

For the Panel

Eugene S. Ginsberg, Chair

Dated: July 12, 2007

# EXHIBIT A

NGV. 14. 2000 Y:03 PM 11/14/2008 17:29 FAI 18388614580

Executive Offices

4.62 ¥1. 1. 10.720

November 14, 2006

#### VIA FAX: 212-735-8708

Mr. Don Vultaggio Homell Browing Co., Inc. 5 Clabota Drive, Suito 205 Lake Success, NY 11042

#### Dear Don:

I am writing in response to David Menashi's letter to Lewis Gantman, dated November 10, 2016. I am sure we both understand the value of settlement - both in the avoidance of potential La rility and in our ability to craft our own resolution. Accordingly, we are not interested in settling this matter by submitting a host of issues for the Penel to decide. If we are going to resolve this case amicably, let us resolve it by our own terms.

We have revised the terms from my November 3, 2006 letter, as follows:

- 1. Hornell will agree to the deletion of that portion of Paragraph 2.4 of the distribution agreements between the parties (the "Agreements") beginning with the phrase, "provided, however, that if in the Manufacturer's good faith..." and confinning through the remainder of the paragraph. The deleted language will be replaced by language stating that CDDV and/or CDP will give Homell written notice of transchipment. Upon the receipt of written notice, both parties would have a period of 60 days to determine if they were responsible for the transchipment problem and eliminate it. If after the 60-day cure period the transchipment continues, then CDDV/CDP would give Homell written notice of the communition of the transchipment, and both parties would use their "best efforts" to eliminate the manyahipment problem.
- 2. In the absence of a mutually agreeable Business Plan pursuant to Paragraph 10 of the Agreements, Paragraph 2.1 of the Agreements will require CDDV and CDP to diligently and aggregatively promote and actively solicit the sale and distribution of Exclusive Products in good faith and in a manner consistent with the terms of the Agreements as amended by the Settlement Agreement, including the distribution goals set forth in paragraphs 6, 7 and 8.
- 3. Hornell shall be permitted to distribute the following specific packages of hor fill Exclusive Products to the following specific customers within the Territories on a direct beris:

HUY. (4. 2000 ):3)Fm 11/14/2008 17:29 FAX 18586814560

Executive Offices

· P.03

120. 22V

a. Doig Stores:

CVS, Walgreen's and Brook's/Eckerd/Fits Aid Full Product Line

b. Chub Stores: .

Sam's Club, BI's and Costro 16 ounce glass bottles and 15.5 ounce cans

c. Mass Merchandiness:

K Mart and Turget 16 and 20 ounce glass bottles and 23.5 ounce Big Cans

Welmant 16 and 20 omes glass bottles

d Aldi's:

Full Product Line

e. Convenience Stores:

Sheetz 16 and 20 ounce glass bottles

Cumberland Farms and High's 16 and 20 cunce glass bottles and 23.5 cunce Big Cans

£ Supermarkets:

Shop Rite, Pathmark, Super Fresh, Stop & Shop, Safeway and Gennardi's Products equal or late than 12 ounce and greater than one liter

For Safeway and Gennardi's only, Hornell shall be permitted to sell the Arizona Energy Drink in the Green Tea, Diet Green Tea and Green Tea Fornegranate Flavor: in 16 ounce cans.

g. Military:

Full Product Line. There shall be no distribution of Products to my of the following customers except to the attent Hornell can demonstrate a history of sales: Walter Reed Hospital, Bowling Air Force Bare, Quantico history of sales: Walter Reed Hospital, Bowling Air Force Bare, Quantico Marine Base, Fort Menda, Naval Academy, Fort Belvoir, Fort Myer, Fort:

NUY. 14. ZUVO Y: 741NN II/I4/2008 17:30 FXI 18568614580

Precurive Offices

พ. 74 น. นี้ง พ. 74 น. นั้ง

McNair and Dover Air Force Base. To the extent CDDV or CDP obtain evidence of improper sales to any of these bases, such evidence will be forwarded to Hornell immediately.

#### h Food Service:

Syrup may be sold through a commissary system to any national resumment chain. In addition, Honoll may tell its full product line to McDonald's, Burger King and Wendy's through a self-distribution commissary system, which must be wholly-owned or controlled by such restaurant customer, must distribute products exclusively to such restaurant customer, and must operate all of its outlets under its trademark.

### i. Vending Machine Accounts:

Sales to vending machine accomis will include only packages which are permanently marked "For vending only and not for resale" and will not include a UPC on the package. CDDV/CDP will provide Homeel with written notice of "vending packages" that appeared in the market for written notice of days of its becoming aware of the problem. Homeel would have 60 days to cure this problem by climinating the sales of the "vending packages" to the appropriate customers.

- 4. The exceptions to exclusivity specified in Paragraph 3 zboys relating to convenience stores and supermarkets only apply to existing stores of those customers and new stores of those customers. However, the exceptions shall not apply if the new stores were opened promuent to an acquisition or business combination with an existing store chain that has at least 10 stores.
- 5. The 16 curve Fresh Choice product will be a Non-Exclusive Product in the CDDV and CDP territories if Romell and the product meet and enforce all of the following conditions: 1) the product is produced without preservatives in an ultra-high temperature pasteurization process: 2) the product requires refrigeration at the manufacturer, distributor and retailer levels; 3) Hornell informs its customers that the product must be told in the dairy refrigerated section of the retail store; and 4) the product is not sold in the CDDV and CDP coolers. Any particular territories.
- 6. CDDV and CDP will agree to distribute enough Arizona SKU's to represent at least 80% of the annual DSD sales in the Northeastern part of the United States from Virginia to Maine for each of the following parkage sizes 16 cance bottle, 20 cance bottle, and 23.5 cance "Big Can". Honcall will provide an annual report cartifying 5KU sales in the Northeastern part of the United States from Virginia to Maine to verify the SKU distribution requirements. The SKU's will be selected jointly by CDDV/CDP and Arizona to reflect the most appropriate products for the marketplace.

NUV. 14. ZVVO TITYTE 11/14/2006, 17:00 FAX 18586814580 Precutive Offices

NU. 740 1. 7

CB.4

Introduction of new product lines: If any new product bears the Arizona mademark, or the trade dress of any new product is substantially similar to that of an Exclusive Product, the new product must be offered to CDDV and CDP on an exclusive basis and on the same terms and conditions as other Exclusive Products. If such product is accepted, then the product shall be added to Schedule A-1. If Houndle offers such new product line and CDDV and/or CDP elects not to early it, then this new product line will be added to Schedule A-2 as a Non-Exclusive Product. This Paragraphs are to early a substantial and and an electrical and an electrical and an electrical and and an electrical and electrical and

Sofor

- 8. Introduction of new flavors: CDDV and CDP will agree to present any new flavor to at least 40% of its active customers in the "All Other Marker" estegmy, as defined by CDDV and CDP, as will be evidenced by a written confirmation signed by a representative of each customer. CDDV and CDP will agree to distribute all new flavors of any existing product line for at least one year, unless CDDV and/or CDP have a contractual prohibition on such offering. CDDV and CDP will also agree to distribute a minimum of 50% of all new EKU's in any line extension (i.e., Ex Eleck Test) of any existing product for a period of at least one year. If after one year, CDDV or CDP delete any flavor referred to in this Paragraph, that flavor shall common to be an fireducive Product. New flavors will not be considered within the 80% rule stated in Section III. B above for the first year of the new flavor's distribution.
- 9. With respect to any new flavor, new package or new product line taken on by CDDV or CDE, each entity will purchase one trailer-load of such new flavor, new package or new product line within 30 days of its introduction into the Territories. In addition, each entity will office a 30-day price promotion to the trade for such new flavor, new package or new product line so long as a margin of \$1.00/case is maintained during the promotion.
- 10. CDDV and CDP will each run two 30-day incarrive programs for salarmen each year.

  Each incentive program will provide up to a maximum incentive of \$1.00 per case sold,
  the cost of which is to be shared equally by Homell and the distributor. CDDV and
  CDP will each reach a mutual agreement with Homell on the particular flavors,
  package sizes and product lines to be included in the incentive programs.
- 11. In recognition of the additional exceptions to exchainly which are being codified in this agreement and the additional compelition that has existed and will continue to exist between the parties with respect to retail accounts in the Territories, Paragraph 7.2 of the Agreements will be amended to define "Customer Information" to specifically include gross sales information by package and by channel, but not to include the names or addresses of customers.

12. The Settlement Agreement will comisin a mechanism to have the Settlement Agreement approved by the Arbitration Panel and confirmed by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Settlement of Court for Arbitration Panel and confirmed by the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The Settlement of Court for the Settlement of the Settlement of



1

TELEC' CAN T. TALE 11/14/2008 17:31 PAY 18568616580 Elecutive Offices

nv. /14 22.35

While I am confident that you and I can resolve this matter quickly and permanently, I cannot let there negotiations that you and I can resolve this matter quickly and permanently, I hearing dates. Accordingly, the terms above represent our best and final offer. If we cannot could this case in time morner at this time, settlement negotiations will have to be put on hold in elinitely.

Sincerely.

Harold A. Hanickman

# EXHIBIT B

This paragraph shall not apply to Soho sterral soda and any vitamin Tenhancel nateral spresented to CDDV and CPP waters presented of This letter prior to the date of This letter

paragraph 5
any package greater that I liter shall be excluded from the distribution agreement excluded from the distribution

parog. 13
The settlement agreement shall include.

The settlement agreement shall include to care a provision permitting either party to care a provision permitting bo dress of receipt any default notice of any such default.

and a dritten notice of any such default.

ag reed Howell All Movies

No Vice

Jook

ag end Don Wiffger

# EXHIBIT C

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MUTUAL RELEASE

This Settlement Agreement and Mutual Release (hereinafter the "Agreement") is made by and between Canada Dry Delaware Valley Bottling Company ("CDDV"), Canada Dry Potomac Corp. ("CDP") (collectively, the "Claimants") and Hornell Brewing Company, Inc. d/b/a Ferolito, Vultaggio & Sons ("Hornell"). This Agreement shall be deemed entered into as of the date of the signature of the last party or party representative to sign.

WHEREAS, Hornell executed an exclusive distribution agreement with CDDV on March 17, 1997, granting CDDV exclusive distribution rights in Bucks, Chester, Delaware, Montgomery and Philadelphia Counties in Pennsylvania (the "Pennsylvania Agreement");

WHEREAS, Hornell executed an exclusive distribution agreement with CDDV on March 17, 1997, granting CDDV exclusive distribution rights in Atlantic, Burlington, Camden, Cape May, Cumberland, Gloucester and Salem Counties in New Jersey, and Kent, New Castle and Sussex Counties in Delaware (the "New Jersey Agreement," referred to with the Pennsylvania Agreement as the "CDDV Agreements");

WHEREAS, Hornell executed an exclusive distribution agreement with CDP on December 23, 1998, granting CDP exclusive distribution rights in the District of Columbia and Anne Arundel, Baltimore, Baltimore City, Carroll, Frederick, Howard, Montgomery, and Prince George's Counties in Maryland (the "CDP Agreement");

WHEREAS, CDDV and CDP commenced an arbitration against Hornell by causing a demand for arbitration to be delivered to the American Arbitration Association on June 22, 2005, captioned Canada Dry Delaware Valley Bottling Co. and Canada Dry Potomac Corp. v. Hornell Brewing Co., Inc. d/b/a/ Ferolito, Vultaggio & Sons, AAA Case Number 13 181 01425 05 (the "Action");

WHEREAS, Hornell disputes the claims asserted by CDDV and CDP in the Action and has asserted defenses and a counterclaim; and

WHEREAS, CDDV, CDP and Hornell (collectively, the "Parties") desire to settle all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Action without incurring further litigation costs;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual obligations and undertakings set forth herein, and other good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which is hereby acknowledged, and intending to be legally bound hereby, the undersigned hereby to agree as follows:



- 2. Consent to Award: The parties agree to seek the Arbitration Panel's approval to conclude this Action by jointly submitting a copy of the Agreement to the Arbitration Panel as an attachment to a proposed Consent Award.
- Parties agree that the amount in controversy in the Action exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs, and that the preferred forum for confirmation is the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. Should that court decline jurisdiction for any reason, the Consent Award may be confirmed in the Supreme Court of New York, New York County. The Parties agree not to object to such a petition on any ground, including but not

limited to jurisdiction, and the Parties further agree not to file a petition to vacate the Consent Award.

- 4. Mutual Releases: The Parties for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and legal sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, for themselves and each of their respective past, present and future officers, employees, directors, shareholders, agents, representatives, affiliates, partners, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, do hereby remise, release and discharge each other and hold each other and their respective past; present and future officers, employees, directors, shareholders, agents, representatives, affiliates, partners, subsidiaries, successors and assigns of any of them harmless for any and all claims relating to the CDDV Agreements and/or CDP Agreement that were or could have been asserted in the Action.
- of discovery in the Action shall be kept confidential and shall not be disclosed or otherwise disseminated to any other person(s) or individual(s), except as the parties may be required by Order of Court or other governmental agency, and/or as the parties have agreed in the Confidentiality Agreement in this matter which, by its terms, survives the conclusion of the Action and requires all "Confidential Information" to be returned or destroyed. To the extent any documents have been labeled "Attorneys' Eyes Only" and not "Confidential," the Parties agree that such documents should be deemed, "Confidential Information" and returned or destroyed as required by the Confidentiality Agreement. Any party making any disclosure of evidence or documents permitted by the first sentence of this paragraph must provide to the opposing party's counsel notice that the evidence or documents will be disclosed at least five (5) business days before the date of any such disclosure. The parties further agree that the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be kept confidential and shall not be disclosed or otherwise disseminated to any other person(s) or individual(s) except as follows: (1) as may be necessary to

seek confirmation or enforcement of this Agreement, (2) as the parties may be required by Order of Court or other governmental agency, and/or (3) as may be needed by the parties' agents and professional advisors, including their auditors, accountants, and attorneys. Any party making any disclosure to its agents and professional advisors, including its auditors, accountants, and attorneys, as permitted by this paragraph, shall advise any such person or entity that the disclosure is subject to this confidentiality provision and shall obtain reasonable assurances from any such person or entity that it will not disclose any information covered by this confidentiality provision. It is further understood and agreed that the parties and their respective counsel shall not in any way publicize or give comment to any third parties, aside from those listed above, including any news or communication media (including, but not limited to, newspapers, magazines, radio, television, or Internet) regarding the terms and/or conditions of this Agreement or the evidence, claims or contentions regarding the Action.

- 6. Attorneys' Fees and Costs: The Parties agree that the costs of litigation and attorneys' fees in the Action shall be borne by the respective party incurring such costs or attorneys' fees and that the arbitration costs shall be paid 50% by the Claimants and 50% by the Respondent.
- 7. Preparation of the Agreement and Construction: Each Party has cooperated in the drafting and preparation of this Agreement. Therefore, the Agreement shall not be construed against any Party.
- 8. Final Understanding: The Parties agree that the CDDV Agreements and the CDP Agreement remain in full force and effect according to their terms as amended by this Agreement. This writing constitutes the complete, final and entire understanding of the Parties hereto with respect to all matters relating to this Agreement. Other than the terms of this Agreement, terms of the CDDV Agreements and the CDP Agreement

which are not modified or amended by the terms of this Agreement, there are no other terms, covenants, conditions or representations.

- 9. Amendment: This Agreement may not be modified or changed orally, and may be amended only by an agreement in writing signed by the Parties.
- 10. Claims Arising out of the Enforcement of this Agreement: The Parties do not release each other from any claims, counterclaims, demands, causes of action and rights of action anising out of the enforcement of the terms of this Agreement or the Consent Award as confirmed by a court of competent jurisdiction. Prior to commencing any action relating to the enforcement of this Agreement or the Consent Award as confirmed, the party alleging breach must provide notice to the party alleged to have breached, specifying in sufficient detail the alleged breach.
- 11. Notices: Any notice or other transmission of information required under the provisions of this Agreement shall be made by overnight courier service, addressed as follows:

### For CDDV and/or CDP:

Canada Dry Delaware Valley Bottling Company, and/or Canada Dry Potomac Corp. 8275 U.S. Route 130, Pennsanken, New Jersey 08110 Attn: Jeffrey Honickman

-and-

Dana B. Klinges, Esquire Wolf, Block, Schorr & Solis-Cohen, ILP 1650 Arch Street, 22nd Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103

### For Hornell Brewing Company, Inc.:

Homeil Brewing Company, inc. 5 Dakota Drive, Lake Success New York, NY 11042
Attn:

-and-

Howard Wolfson, Esquire Morrison Cohen LLP 909 Third Avenue New York, NY 10022

- 12. Representations and Warranties: The Parties further represent and warrant that:
  - a. they have not made any promise, representation or warranty, express or implied, not contained in this Agreement concerning the subject matter of this Agreement and the Parties acknowledge that they have not executed this Agreement in reliance upon any such promise, representation or warranty not contained in this Agreement;
  - b. they have not assigned the claims asserted in the Action to any other entity; and
  - c. each of the signatories for the Parties further represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter and execute this Agreement for and on behalf of the entity that he or she represents and that such entity has duly authorized him or her to sign on its behalf, as reflected on the attached signature page(s).
- 13. Choice of Law and Venne: The parties agree that this Agreement is governed by the laws of the State of New York. Notwithstanding the arbitration provisions in the CDDV Agreements and the CDP Agreement, the venue for any action arising out of the interpretation, enforcement or a breach of this Agreement or the Consent Award as confirmed shall be the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York or, if the Consent Award is confirmed in state court pursuant to paragraph 3 above, the Supreme Court of New York; New York County.

Counterparts: This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, 14. each of which when so executed and delivered shall be deemed an original. The executed signature pages from each counterpart may be joined together and attached to one such original, which shall constitute one and the same instrument.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have caused this Agreement to be duly executed on the last day and year written below:

Canada Dry Delaware Valley Bottling Compan and Canada Dry Potomac Corp.	
·	•
By: Harold	Honickman, Chairman
Date: Nove	ember, 2006
Hornell Br	ewing Co., Inc.
By: Don V	'ultaggio,
Date: Nove	ember, 2006